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The media has made several false statements about the hybrid bermudagrass, ‘Tifton 85.’ This brief article seeks to dispel these falsehoods and the distortions about Tifton 85.

Tifton 85 is a Hybrid, Not a GMO

Several news articles, most notably an article on CBS News’ website (which has since been corrected), have asserted that Tifton 85 is a transgenic or, so-called, genetically modified organism (GMO). Tifton 85 is a hybrid and NOT a transgenic or GMO crop.

Tifton 85 is a cross between a bermudagrass [Cynodon dactylon, specifically cv. Tift 292 (an armyworm resistant plant introduction in the USDA-ARS collection)] and a closely related Cynodon species called stargrass [Cynodon nlemfuensis, specifically cv. Tifton 68 (highly digestible, but cold susceptible)]. Crosses were made by placing inflorescences (the day before pollen shed) of each parent in a beaker of water. The inflorescences were covered with a glassine bag to control pollination. Each day, thereafter, bag and inflorescences were thumped to distribute pollen. Seeds were collected and those were germinated in the greenhouse and subsequently transplanted in the field. Plants with great potential were increased and selected to be tested in replicated plots and under grazing. No extraordinary tools or biotechnology tools were used in this process.

Tifton 85 is a high yielding, highly digestible hybrid bermudagrass that also has a tremendous number of environmental benefits, as well (e.g., produces substantially more dry matter per unit of rainfall/irrigation or unit of fertilizer, has a much deeper root system than other bermudagrasses, improves soil organic matter content, increases carbon sequestration, reduces the carbon footprint of pasture-based livestock production, reduces nitrate leaching through the soil into the groundwater, etc.).

Even though Tifton 85 is not a GMO crop, it is worth noting some facts about GMO crops. Transgenic or GMO crops, created by using recombinant DNA technology, have been in widespread commercial use since 1996. Last year alone, they were grown on almost 400 million acres in over 30 countries. As such, they are the most studied crops in history, and are thoroughly tested for safety in many countries by before they are commercially available. These evaluations are conducted by regulatory agencies, publicly funded and independent research institutes, as well as the companies that provide these products. No claims of adverse effects from a GMO crop have EVER been verified by reputable scientific peer-review processes.

Cyanogenic Compounds are Natural Plant Products

Some of the news articles have claimed that Tifton 85 has had a random mutation that has caused it to produce cyanide gas. This is also false.
Some plants naturally produce compounds that are cyanogenic, or precursors to cyanide (sometimes called prussic acid). They are present in the plants (typically) as cyanogenic glycosides or glucosinolates, neither of which are inherently toxic in those forms. However, these compounds are readily broken down when the plant is consumed by an herbivore or if crushed. It is a natural defense mechanism for these plants. Notable examples of plants that produce cyanogenic compounds include common pasture and forage crops (e.g., forage sorghum, sudangrass, white clover, etc.) and pasture weeds (e.g., Johnsongrass, black cherry trees, etc.). Certain types of algae can also contain cyanogenic compounds, and in some cases have been implicated in cases of poisonings from the animal’s water source. Even some fruits, vegetables, and nuts (e.g., almonds, peaches, apples, apricots, cherries, lima beans, cassava, etc.) produce cyanogenic compounds in some of their plant tissues (usually seeds).

These cyanogenic compounds are not normally broken down in the intact plant because the glycosides and the enzymes that break them down are separated in different compartments. In members of the sorghum family, for example, the cyanogenic glycoside dhurrin is present in the epidermal cells at the surface of a leaf while the enzymes are located in the mesophyll cells in the middle of the leaf. However, when the plant is consumed, the cyanogenic glycosides and the enzymes that break them down then come into contact and the cyanide is released.

As previously mentioned, Tifton 85 is a hybrid of a bermudagrass and a stargrass. Some stargrass varieties have, in very rare cases, formed cyanogenic compounds. Even so, producers in Florida have grazed stargrass since 1972 without any incident. Stargrass has also been used in the tropics for much longer. Dairies in Puerto Rico, for example, frequently green chop stargrass and feed it to their dairy cattle. If the risk of cyanide poisoning was a significant problem, green chopping the forage and feeding it to dairy cattle would be a situation that would pose the greatest risk. Even so, forage specialists and researchers at the Univ. of Puerto Rico had never dealt with a single instance of cyanide poisoning in Puerto Rico related to stargrass.

The role (if any) that was played by Tifton 85 in the unfortunate case of the cyanide poisoning of 15 calves in Texas during late May 2012 remains unclear. The investigation is still in a preliminary stage and no conclusions should be reached until it has been completed. Further details will emerge about this case as the investigation continues. Until then, avoid over-reacting to this report of cyanide poisoning and rushing to judgment about Tifton 85.